PERIODONTOLOGY

Prevalence of Radiographic Alveolar Bone Loss as a Function of Age in the Periodontics Clinic Population at the College of Dentistry, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Mansour Alaskar^a / Hani S. AlMoharib^b / Amani Mirdad^c / Arwa A. Talakey^d / Paul Levi^e / Abdulmonem Alshihri^f

Purpose: The purpose of the present observational study was to assess the prevalence of radiographic alveolar bone loss (ABL) as a function of age at the Periodontics Clinics at the College of Dentistry, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Materials and Methods: Medical and dental healthcare records of individuals visiting the Periodontics Clinics at College of Dentistry, King Saud University, Riyadh Saudi Arabia were assessed. The following information was retrieved: age, gender, educational status, and systemic diseases (diabetes mellitus [DM], hypertension, osteoporosis and obesity). Digital full-mouth radiographs were retrieved from patients' dental records, and marginal bone loss (MBL) was assessed on the mesial and distal surfaces of all teeth. Logistic regression analyses (LRA) were done to assess the correlation between ABL and gender, age, educational status and duration since diagnosis of the aforementioned systemic conditions. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: In total, medical and periodontal healthcare records of 495 individuals were retrieved and assessed. All individuals were citizens of the KSA. Among these, 107 were healthy controls and 98, 95, 96 and 99 individuals had a medical diagnosis of type-2 DM, hypertension, obesity and osteoporosis, respectively. There was no statistically significant difference in the mean age and gender of all medically compromised participants. The prevalence of mild, moderate, and severe periodontitis in the total patient population was 51.4%, 37.5% and 36.5%, respectively. Among all healthy controls, the prevalence of mild, moderate, and severe periodontitis in relation to advancing age in the entire patient population.

Conclusion: Advancing age did not seem to affect ABL in the present patient population. Patient education, oral hygiene maintenance and SES seem to be more predictable indicators of ABL than increasing age.

Key words: alveolar bone loss, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, obesity, osteoporosis, prevalence

Oral Health Prev Dent 2023; 21: 313–318. doi: 10.3290/j.ohpd.b4424899 Submitted for publication: 08.05.23; accepted for publication: 07.08.23

- ^a Professor and Periodontist, Department of Periodontics and Community Dentistry, College of Dentistry, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Study design, patient examination, data collection, wrote the manuscript.
- ^b Assistant Professor and Periodontist, Department of Periodontics and Community Dentistry, College of Dentistry, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Patient examination, data collection, statistical analysis.
- ^c Assistant Professor and Periodontist, Department of Periodontics and Community Dentistry, College of Dentistry, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Patient examination, data collection, reviewed the manuscript.
- ^d Assistant Professor, Department of Periodontics and Community Dentistry, College of Dentistry, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Patient examination, data collection.
- ^e Clinical Associate Professor, Department of Periodontology, Tufts University School of Dental Medicine, Boston, MA, USA. Wrote the proposal, edited the manuscript, designed methodology.
- ^f Associate Professor and Prosthodontist, Department of Prosthetic Dental Science, College of Dentistry, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Statistical analysis, edited and reviewed the manuscript.

Correspondence: Professor Mansour Alaskar. Department of Periodontics and Community Dentistry, College of Dentistry, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Tel: +966-11-467-2400; e-mail: malaskar@ksu.edu.sa

riodontal disease initially manifests as gingivitis, which is limited to inflammation of soft tissues.¹ However, if left undiagnosed and not treated in a timely manner, the severity of periodontal inflammation increases and enhances the risk of alveolar bone loss (ABL) around teeth (periodontitis). The undesirable yet preventable outcome of ABL is loss of dentition.¹ Risk factors that can predispose vulnerable patient populations to periodontitis can broadly be categorised into local or systemic risk factors. From a local perspective, the most common risk factor of periodontitis is poor oral hygiene maintenance.²⁶ In other words, irregular and inadequate toothbrushing and flossing of interproximal spaces increases the risk of oral inflammatory conditions, including periodontitis.²⁶ According to Haran and McCormick,¹⁵ imbalances in gut microbes and immune function elevates the risk of diseases in aged populations compared with younger individuals. From an oral heath point of view, it has been reported that periodontal probing depth (PD) and alveolar bone loss (ABL) are worse in individuals over 60 years of age compared with younger individuals (< 45 years of age).^{8,11,18,21}

The global prevalence of DM is increasing and the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA) is listed among the "top ten countries" with the highest prevalence of DM (approximately 24%).²⁰ A worrying epidemiological observation is that the diagnosis of DM has increased nearly 10-fold in the KSA in recent years.⁴ It has also been reported that nearly 14% of the healthcare budget in the KSA is spent on the management of DM.²³ Likewise, in the KSA, the prevalences of other debilitating health conditions such as hypertension, obesity and osteoporosis are 32.35%, 35% and nearly 60%, respectively.^{2,24,25} These systemic diseases (DM, obesity, osteoporosis and hypertension) are also well-known risk factors of periodontitis.14,19,30 Al-Zahrani and Kayal³ assessed ABL among patients from a dental school in the Western province of the KSA. The results showed that approximately 68% of the 282 individualsf assessed had ABL, which was more often manifested in patients with systemic diseases such as DM.³ Here, it is also worth mentioning that advancing age is also directly associated with occurrence of various systemic conditions, including those described above.¹³ To the authors' knowledge from pertinent indexed literature, the relationship between age and periodontitis in populations with systemic diseases has been inadequately explored.

With this background, the purpose of the present observational study was to assess the prevalence of radiographic ABL as a function of age at the Periodontics Clinics at College of Dentistry, King Saud University. The study hypothesis was that there is no relationship between ABL and age in vulnerable patient populations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics Approval

The study protocol (Project # E-18-3099) was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Health Sciences Colleges Research on Human Subjects, College of Medicine, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia (Approval # 18/0284/IRB). Participation was voluntary; it was mandatory for all participants to read and sign a written informed consent form prior to having their healthcare records assessed. There were no penalties and/or consequences for individuals who declined to have their healthcare records assessed and/or withdrew at any stage of investigation. All volunteers were informed that their personal information, including name, home/ office addresses, photos, and other contact details (such as phone numbers and email addresses) would be kept confidential. Consenting individuals were requested to return a signed version of the consent form to the department of Periodontology at King Saud University in a pre-stamped envelope.

Study Location

The present retrospective observational study was performed at the Department of Periodontics and Community Dentistry, College of Dentistry, King Saud University, Riyadh, KSA between November 2018 and January 2022.

Study Participants

Digital healthcare records of individuals visiting the Periodontics Clinics at the Department of Periodontics and Community Dentistry at the College of Dentistry, King Saud University, were assessed in the present study.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Individuals (KSA citizens) who agreed to their medical and periodontal healthcare records being evaluated were included in the present study. Individuals habitually using combustible and/or non-combustible nicotinic products were not included. Third molars, grossly carious and supernumerary teeth, as well as records of pregnant/lactating patients were not assessed.

Evaluation of Medical Records

Patients' digital healthcare records were assessed by one investigator and the following information was retrieved: age, gender, educational status, and identification of systemic diseases (DM, hypertension, osteoporosis and obesity).

Assessment of Digital Full-mouth Radiographs

Digital full-mouth radiographs were retrieved from patients' dental records, and marginal bone loss (MBL) was assessed on the mesial and distal surfaces of all teeth. MBL was measured in millimeters (mm) as the vertical distance from 2 mm below the cementoenamel junction to the alveolar crest.¹⁸ These measurements were performed by one blinded, trained and calibrated investigator (Kappa score 0.88).

Classification of Periodontitis

In the present study, patients with MBL between 2 and 3 mm were categorised as having mild periodontitis.¹⁶ Individuals with an MBL ranging between 3 and 4 mm or >4 mm were categorised as having moderate or severe periodontitis, respectively.¹⁶

Age Distribution

Patients were grouped by age in years as follows: (a) 20 to 29.9; (b) 30 to 39.9; (b) 40 to 49.9; (c) 50 to 59.9; (d) 60 to 69.9; and (e) over 70.

Sample-size Estimation

Power analysis was done on pilot data using a software program (nQuery Advisor 6.0, Statistical Solutions; Saugas, MA, USA) with an effect size and alpha of 0.30 and 0.05, respectively. Sample size estimation was based on the study by Eke et al,^{10,11} in which the odds of developing periodontitis were 2.3 when comparing individuals aged 35 to 47 years with those 30 to 34 years old. Assuming a mean difference of 2 mm in mesial and distal MBL among individuals aged 20-29.9 years old and those over 49.9 years, it was estimated that a sample size of at least 450 individuals would achieve 95% study power with an alpha of 5%.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were done by a trained statistician who was blinded to the study groups. SPSS version 20 (IBM; Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical analyses. Group comparisons were done using the Mann-Whitney U- and paired t-tests. Normality

Table 1 Characteristics of the study groups

Parameters	All individuals	Type-2 diabetes mellitus	Hypertension	Obesity	Osteoporosis	Healthy controls	
Number of individuals (n)	495 (100%)	98 (19.8%)	95 (19.2%)	96 (19.4%)	99 (20%)	107 (21.6%)	
Males (%)	294 (59.4%)	54 (55.1%)	50 (52.6%)	57 (59.4%)	31 (31.3%)	102 (94.4%) [‡]	
Females (%)	201 (40.6%)	44 (44.9%)	45 (47.4%)	39 (40.6%)	68 (68.7%)	5 (5.6%)	
Mean age	55.4 ± 4.7 years	52.7 ± 2.8 years	62.4 ± 6.7 years	57.1 ± 2.3 years	66.6 ± 10.5 years	65.8 ± 12.5 years	
Education level							
School-level	81 (16.4%)*†	23 (23.5%)	19 (20%)	22 (22.9%)	15 (15.2%)*†	2 (1.9%)*†	
College-level	128 (25.9%)†	36 (36.7%)	25 (26.3%)	37 (38.5%)	18 (18.2%)†	12 (11.2%)†	
University-level	286 (57.7%)	39 (39.8%)	51 (53.7%)	37 (38.6%)	66 (66.6%)	93 (86.9%)	
*Compared with college level education in all individuals (p < 0.05). [†] Compared with university-level education in all individuals (p < 0.05).							

 Table 2
 Number of participants in relation to advancing age

Age groups in years	All individuals	Type-2 diabetes mellitus	Hypertension Obesity		Osteoporosis	Healthy controls
20 to 29.9	26 (5.2%)	0	0	6 (6.3%)	0	20 (18.7%)
30 to 39.9	43 (8.7%)	4 (4.1%)	3 (3.2%)	15 (15.6%)	0	21 (19.6%)
40 to 49.9	116 (23.4%)	36 (36.7%)	24 (25.7%)	31 (32.3%)	0	25 (23.4%)
50 to 59.9	165 (33.3%)	32 (32.6%)	38 (40%)	21 (21.9%)	53 (53.5%)	21 (19.6%)
60 to 69.9	73 (14.7%)	14 (14.3%)	17 (17.9%)	11 (11.4%)	20 (20.2%)	11 (10.3%)
>70	72 (14.7%)	12 (12.3%)	13 (13.2%)	12 (12.5%)	26 (26.3%)	9 (8.4%)
All individuals	495	98	95	96	99	107
60 to 69.9 >70 All individuals	73 (14.7%) 72 (14.7%) 495	14 (14.3%) 12 (12.3%) 98	17 (17.9%) 13 (13.2%) 95	11 (11.4%) 12 (12.5%) 96	20 (20.2%) 26 (26.3%) 99	11 (10.3%) 9 (8.4%) 107

of data was assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and the Shapiro-Wilk tests. Logistic regression analyses were done to assess the correlation between ABL and gender, age, hypertension, obesity, DM, and educational status. p-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the Population

In total, medical and periodontal healthcare records of 495 individuals were retrieved and assessed. All individuals were citizens of the KSA. Of these, 107 were healthy controls, and 98, 95, 96 and 99 individuals had a medical diagnosis of type-2 DM, hypertension, obesity and osteoporosis, respectively. There was no statistically significant difference in the mean age and gender of all medically compromised participants. Among the systemically healthy controls, the number of male participants was statistically significantly higher than females (p < 0.05). In the entire population, 16.4% and 57.7% patients reported to have school- and university-level education, respectively (p < 0.05). Of the healthy controls, the majority had attained a university-level education (86.9%). There was no difference in the educational status among patients with type-2 DM, hypertension and obesity (Table 1). Table 2 demonstrates the agewise distribution of systemically healthy and medically-compromised individuals.

Prevalence of Periodontitis

The prevalence of mild, moderate and severe periodontitis in the total patient population was 51.4%, 37.5% and 36.5%, respectively. Among all healthy controls, the prevalence of mild, moderate and severe periodontitis was 16.3%, 25.5% and 33.4% respectively. There was no difference in the prevalence

Table 3 Prevalence of periodontitis (percentages) in relation to age (in years)

		Severity of periodontitis							
Study population	n	Mild	SE	Moderate	SE	Severe	SE	Mean MBL*	SE
Total population	495 (100%)	51.4	2.7	37.5	1.8	36.5	1.06	2.7	0.4
All healthy controls	107 (100%)	16.3%	2.3	25.5	2.05	33.4	1.7	1.6	0.13
20 to 29.9	20 (18.7%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.003
30 to 39.9	21 (19.6%)	19.04%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.08
40 to 49.9	25 (23.4%)	24%	4.7	16.7%	3.5	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.07
50 to 59.9	21 (19.6%)	9.5%	1.8	33.3%	2.2	19.04%	2.4	2.3	0.18
60 to 69.9	11 (10.3%)	18.2%	0.07	36.4%	0.14	18.2%	0.08	2.04	0.11
>70	9 (8.4%)	11.1%	0.08	33.3	0.07	55.5%	0.09	3.08	0.2
Diabetic patients	98 (100%)	20.8	1.7	51.6	1.5	50.6	1.4	3.05	0.11
20 to 29.9	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
30 to 39.9	4 (4.1%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
40 to 49.9	36 (36.7%)	27.7	2.1	47.7%	1.8	13.9%	1.2	2.1	0.07
50 to 59.9	32 (32.6%)	15.6%	1.4	59.4%	1.4	28.1	1.5	2.5	0.06
60 to 69.9	14 (14.3%)	14.3%	1.8	50%	1.4	57.1%	0.09	2.9	0.04
>70	12 (12.3%)	0.0	0.0	58.3	1.3	41.7	1.2	3.3	0.08
Hypertension	95	50.6%	3.7	55.1%	2.2	46.3%	2.8	3.5	1.8
20 to 29.9	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
30 to 39.9	3 (3.2%)	33.3%	1.8	66.7%	0.05	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.05
40 to 49.9	24 (25.7%)	16.7%	1.5	62.5%	2.1	20.8%	2.3	2.4	1.8
50 to 59.9	38 (40%)	86.8%	1.4	13.2%	1.7	0.0	0.0	2.5	1.04
60 to 69.9	17 (17.9%)	0.0	0.0	70.6%	2.2	29.4%	1.7	2.8	0.7
>70	13 (13.2%)	0.0	0.0	46.2%	1.5	53.8%	1.5	2.7	0.5
Obesity	96	77.1%	2.5	23.1%	1.4	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.8
20 to 29.9	6 (6.3%)	83.3%	1.8	19.7	0.8	0.0	0.0	2.3	1.2
30 to 39.9	15 (15.6%)	73.3%	2.7	26.7%	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	1.5
40 to 49.9	31 (32.3%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
50 to 59.9	21 (21.9%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
60 to 69.9	11 (11.4%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
>70	12 (12.5%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Osteoporosis	99	0.0	0.0	53.5%	3.7	49.6	2.1	3.5	0.7
20 to 29.9	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
30 to 39.9	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
40 to 49.9	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
50 to 59.9	53 (53.5%)	0.0	0.0	49.1%	2.9	50.9%	3.1	3.7	1.4
60 to 69.9	20 (20.2%)	0.0	0.0	55%	3.4	45%	2.2	3.2	1.8
>70	26 (26.3%)	0.0	0.0	57.7%	2.8	42.3%	2.5	3.6	1.2
MBL: Marginal bone loss in millimeters. *Data are presented as the means of mesial and distal MBL. Data are presented as means ± standard error.									

of mild, moderate and severe periodontitis in relation to advancing age in the entire patient population. These results are shown in Table 3.

Regression Analyses

Logistic regression analyses showed no statistically significant correlation between ABL and HbA1c, gender, age, educational status and duration since diagnosis of systemic conditions (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

In the present observational cohort study, it was speculated that advancing age is an important factor that influences the severity of ABL (periodontitis). This speculation was based on results from a previous case-control study,¹⁸ in which individuals aged over 60 years had more severe periodontal inflammation compared with younger individuals < 49 years old. Moreover, there is sufficient scientific evidence showing that the ABL is higher in immunosuppressed individuals than in controls (medically healthy individuals).^{12,30} In this context, we categorised the patient population into medically-challenged and healthy groups, and further categorised these individuals into six age groups (Table 2). It was anticipated that medically-challenged and healthy individuals aged \geq 60 years would demonstrate higher mean ABL compared with younger counterparts (< 30 years old). From an experimental standpoint, Wu et al²⁹ assessed the influence of advancing age on challenge by oral pathogens and resultant host response as well as osteoclast numbers in young vs aged mice.²⁹ The results showed that bacterial diversity was reduced in aged mice. In other words, colonisation of periodontopathogenic bacteria (Porphyromonas gingivalis [P. gingivalis]) was significantly higher in older than younger mice.²⁹ In that study on animal models, increased gingival P. gingivalis colonization was associated with an enhanced osteoclastic function as well as serum tumor necrosis-factor alpha levels. Several factors make the present investigation unique in contrast to a previous study¹⁶ from theh USA with similar objectives. In the study by Helmi et al,¹⁶ tobacco smokers and individuals with a variety of geographic backgrounds were enrolled. However, in the present study, stringent eligibility criteria were imposed. Firstly, we performed the present study exclusively among self-reported non-smokers and patients from a specific geographic background, that is, KSA. One reason for this is that habitual use of nicotinic products and demographic variables are known to impact the prevalence of periodontitis.^{5,7,10} It is worth remembering that habitual use of combustible nicotinic products is associated with an increased formation and accumulation of advanced glycation endproducts and destructive inflammatory cytokines in periodontal tissues,^{5,7,10,17,22} which enhance the progression of periodontal inflammatory conditions, including periodontitis. From the authors' perspective, application of such stringent standards facilitates meaningful comparison of results from individuals belonging to a specific ethnicity and/or geographic location.

Surprisingly, the present results showed no statistically significant relationship between advancing age and increased prevalence of ABL in medically and systemically healthy controls. Several factors seem to have contributed towards such an outcome. The most common and well-known risk factor of increased ABL is poor routine oral hygiene maintenance.²⁸ Moreover, it has also been reported that a higher education and socioeconomic (SES) is associated with better health status, including oral health, in contrast to those with less education and a lower SES. It is notable that we found no statistically significant difference in the prevalence of ABL between younger and older patients with type-2 DM. It is well established that under optimal glycemic control, diabetic individuals can have clinical-radiographic statuses similar to non-diabetic individuals.¹⁸ Similarly, these factors also contribute towards a stable glycemic status in patients with DM.²⁸

There are several limitations associated with the results reported in the present investigation. First, it is worth mentioning that the primary objective of the current investigation was to assess the prevalence of ABL as a measure of advancing age in systemically healthy and medically-compromised individuals. In this context, clinical parameters such as periodontal soft tissue inflammation (including clinical attachment loss, bleeding on probing and probing depth) were not assessed. Moreover, calibration of the investigators who initially took the bitewing radiographs was impractical. Therefore, it is possible that ABL levels might have differed from those taken from radiographic examinations done by calibrated assessors. Also, it could not be verified whether or not a standard technique (such as the long-cone paralleling method) or calibration of individuals taking the radiographs had been performed. Furthermore, a major limitation of two-dimensional radiographs is that they are unable to detect furcation and/or crater involvements in bone.²⁷ Additional studies based on an advanced imaging technique such as cone-beam computed tomography may help to precisely evaluate patterns of ABL in medically-challenged and systemically healthy populations exposed to oral inflammatory diseases, including periodontitis. There is sufficient evidence in the indexed literature to confirm that habitual use of nicotinic products increases the risk of periodontitis in susceptible patients,^{7,11} that this relationship is independent of individuals' systemic health status.^{7,11} In this study, nicotinic product users were not assessed, as this variable could have potentially biased the prevalence-related outcomes. It is speculated that the prevalence of periodontitis is higher in medically-compromised tobacco smokers and smokeless-tobacco users than medically-challenged and systemically healthy individuals who do not use any form of nicotinic products. Moreover, it is well-known that lower income status is a risk-factor of periodontitis.^{6,9,18} Regrettably, the records evaluated here did not contain any information related to SES of patients. It is strongly recommended that community-based health awareness programs should routinely be conducted to educate the general public about the connection between oral and systemic health, as well as the importance of routine oral hygiene maintenance on overall health.

CONCLUSION

Advancing age did not seem to affect ABL in the present patient population. Patient education, oral hygiene maintenance and SES seem to be more predictable indicators of ABL than increasing age.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank the KSU-DUH Periodontics Clinic Chief Nurse, Mrs. H. Munar, for assistance with data extraction and patient booking.

REFERENCES

- Abusleme L, Hoare A, Hong BY, Diaz PI. Microbial signatures of health, gingivitis, and periodontitis. Periodontol 2000 2021;86:57–78.
- Al-Ahmari AK. Prevalence of Hypertension and Its Associated Risk Factors Among Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Int J Gen Med 2022;15:6507–6517.
- Al-Zahrani MS, Kayal RA. Alveolar bone loss and reported medical status among a sample of patients at a Saudi dental school. Oral Health Prev Dent 2006;4:113-118.
- Al Dawish MA, Robert AA, Braham R, Al Hayek AA, Al Saeed A, Ahmed RA, et al. Diabetes mellitus in Saudi Arabia: a review of the recent literature. Curr Diabetes Rev 2016;12:359–368.
- Ali D, AlAhmari F, Mikami T, Baskaradoss JK. Increased expression of advanced glycation endproducts in the gingival crevicular fluid compromises periodontal status in cigarette-smokers and waterpipe users. BMC Oral Health 2022;22:206.
- Borrell LN, Crawford ND. Socioeconomic position indicators and periodontitis: examining the evidence. Periodontol 2000 2012;58:69–83.
- Chaffee BW, Couch ET, Vora MV, Holliday RS. Oral and periodontal implications of tobacco and nicotine products. Periodontol 2000 2021;87:241–253.
- Clark D, Kotronia E, Ramsay SE. Frailty, aging, and periodontal disease: Basic biologic considerations. Periodontol 2000 2021;87:143–156.
- Corbet EF, Zee KY, Lo EC. Periodontal diseases in Asia and Oceania. Periodontol 2000 2002;29:122–152.
- 10. Eke PI, Borgnakke WS, Genco RJ. Recent epidemiologic trends in periodontitis in the USA. Periodontol 2000 2020;82:257–267.
- Eke PI, Wei L, Borgnakke WS, Thornton-Evans G, Zhang X, Lu H, et al. Periodontitis prevalence in adults ≥ 65 years of age, in the USA. Periodontol 2000 2016;72:76–95.
- El-Awady AR, Elashiry M, Morandini AC, Meghil MM, Cutler CW. Dendritic cells a critical link to alveolar bone loss and systemic disease risk in periodontitis: Immunotherapeutic implications. Periodontol 2000 2022;89:41–50.

- 13. Gasparro R. Age-related oral and systemic disorders. Appl Sci 2022;12:11583.
- Genco RJ, Sanz M. Clinical and public health implications of periodontal and systemic diseases: An overview. Periodontol 2000 2020;83:7–13.
- 15. Haran JP, McCormick BA. Aging, frailty, and the microbiome-how dysbiosis influences human aging and disease. Gastroenterol 2021;160:507–523.
- Helmi MF, Huang H, Goodson JM, Hasturk H, Tavares M, Natto ZS. Prevalence of periodontitis and alveolar bone loss in a patient population at Harvard School of Dental Medicine. BMC Oral Health 2019;19:254.
- Jaedicke KM, Preshaw PM, Taylor JJ. Salivary cytokines as biomarkers of periodontal diseases. Periodontol 2000 2016;70:164–183.
- Javed F, Näsström K, Benchimol D, Altamash M, Klinge B, Engström PE. Comparison of periodontal and socioeconomic status between subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus and non-diabetic controls. J Periodontol 2007;78:2112–2119.
- Kapila YL. Oral health's inextricable connection to systemic health: Special populations bring to bear multimodal relationships and factors connecting periodontal disease to systemic diseases and conditions. Periodontol 2000 2021;87:11–16.
- 20. Naeem Z. Burden of diabetes mellitus in Saudi Arabia. Int J Health Sci (Qassim) 2015;9:V–vi.
- Nazir M, Al-Ansari A, Al-Khalifa K, Alhareky M, Gaffar B, Almas K. Global prevalence of periodontal disease and lack of its surveillance. Sci World J 2020; 2020:2146160.
- 22. Rivera-Hidalgo F. Smoking and periodontal disease. Periodontol 2000 2003; 32:50–58.
- Robert AA, Al Dawish MA, Braham R, Musallam MA, Al Hayek AA, Al Kahtany NH. Type 2 diabetes mellitus in Saudi Arabia: major challenges and possible solutions. Curr Diabetes Rev 2017;13:59–64.
- Sadat-Ali M, AlZamami JF, AlNaimi SN, Al-Noaimi DA, AlDakheel DA, AlSayed HN, et al. Osteoporosis: is the prevalence increasing in Saudi Arabia? Ann Afr Med 2022;21:54–57.
- Salem V, AlHusseini N, Abdul Razack HI, Naoum A, Sims OT, Alqahtani SA. Prevalence, risk factors, and interventions for obesity in Saudi Arabia: A systematic review. Obes Rev 2022;23:e13448.
- Sälzer S, Graetz C, Dörfer CE, Slot DE, Van der Weijden FA. Contemporary practices for mechanical oral hygiene to prevent periodontal disease. Periodontol 2000 2020;84:35–44.
- 27. Scarfe WC, Azevedo B, Pinheiro LR, Priaminiarti M, Sales MAO. The emerging role of maxillofacial radiology in the diagnosis and management of patients with complex periodontitis. Periodontol 2000 2017;74:116-139.
- Streckfus CF, Parsell DE, Streckfus JE, Pennington W, Johnson RB. Relationship between oral alveolar bone loss and aging among African-American and Caucasian individuals. Gerontol 1999;45:110-114.
- Wu Y, Dong G, Xiao W, Xiao E, Miao F, Syverson A, et al. Effect of aging on periodontal inflammation, microbial colonization, and disease susceptibility. J Dent Res 2016;95:460-466.
- Yu B, Wang CY. Osteoporosis and periodontal diseases An update on their association and mechanistic links. Periodontol 2000 2022;89:99–113.