Ridge preservation in molar sites: a randomized controlled clinical trial

Hyun-Chang Lim¹, Hyun-Seung Shin², In-Woo Cho², Ki-Tae Koo³, Jung-Chul Park^{2,*} ¹Department of Periodontology, College of Dentistry, Kyunghee University, Seoul, Korea ²Department of Periodontology, College of Dentistry, Dankook University, Cheonan, Korea ³Department of Periodontology, College of Dentistry, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea *Corresponding author

Introduction

Dimensional shrinkage of the alveolar ridge are unavoidable following tooth extraction, and such changes can affect negatively the treatment for replacing missing teeth. Thus, <u>alveolar ridge preservation (ARP)</u>, which counteracts ridge shrinkage, has gained a great attention these days. However, <u>the concrete treatment guideline has yet to be established.</u> Specifically, the necessity of primary flap closure (PFC) in ARP has been argued in recent years. In conventional ARP, PFC was attempted, but some studies have demonstrated that PFC may be excluded in ARP using resorbable membranes. Considering the shortcomings of PFC, such as increased technical difficulty, patients' discomfort and possible bone loss from traumatic tissue management, <u>ARP without PFC, i.e. open healing approach may be more clinician- and patient-friendly modality compared to ARP with PFC.</u>

Materials & Methods



Results



Figure 3. Results of CBCT analysis of horizontal change of alveolar ridge after 4 months of ARP. Less horizontal change was noted at all levels in the test group 1, followed by the test group 2 and the control group. The changes at HW 1 and HW 3 in the control group were statistically greater than those in the test group 1(P<0.05), but not in the test group 2.

HW 1, 3 and 5 : Change in horizontal ridge width at 1, 3 and 5 mm level below the ridge crest ***** : Statistically significant compared to the control group



Figure 4. Results of CBCT analysis of vertical change of alveolar ridge after 4 months of ARP. Vertical changes at all measuring points were smallest in the test group 1, followed by the test group 2 and the control group. Statistically significant difference was found in VMC between the test group 1 and test group 2, and in VHL between the test group2 and the control group(P<0.05).

VHB, VMC and VHL : Change in the vertical height of ridge at buccal, mid and lingual crest **t** : Statistically significant compared to the test group 2 **t t** : Statistically significant compared to the control group

2. Histologic analysis



Figure 5. Representative histologic specimen of each group (Masson trichrome staining). Dense collagenous tissue is formed under the epithelium. No distinct difference was observed between two test groups, but pronounce epithelial invagination was shown in the control group. In the test group 2, some of bone substitute particles were found in the layer of soft tissue. In test group 1 and 2, newly formed bone surrounds the residual DBBM particles, meanwhile newly formed bone appears to sprout from the underlying native bone. In aspect of relative percentages (%) of hard tissue, test group 1 showed higher percentage of newly formed bone than test group 2, but no statistical difference was shown(P>0.05).

3. Implant-related outcome

100% of survival rate in all groups. Additional GBR in 4 patients

Mesial

Post-loading 1 year marginal bone level changes

• Marginal bone level	 Marginal bone level 	• Marginal bone level
(n=10)	(n=10)	(n=7)

Figure 1. Simplified diagram of the procedure and flowchart of patient enrollment, randomization, allocation and analyses. Patients were divided into three groups (Test group 1, 2 and control group). After 4 months after ARP, radiographic and histological analysis was performed, and Luna[®] implant was placed. Marginal bone level changes of Luna[®] implant was analyzed at post-loading 1 year. **DBBM-C** : Deproteinized bovine bone mineral with 10% of collagen **DL-CM** : Double layered collagen membrane





Conclusion

The study showed better results on the group of ARP in aspect of change of keratinized tissue and radiographic analysis, especially in group of using both DBBM-C and DL-CM. As a result, GBR was performed in 4 patients in the control group. Despite of these differences of results, all implants showed stable state with no complication in post loading 1-year-follow up, whether ARP was conducted or not.

[This study was funded by Geistlich Co.]

Distal

0 mm

-1 mm

Figure 2. Clinical photographs showing the procedures of each group.