

camlogfoundation

5TH INTERNATIONAL CAMLOG CONGRESS 26TH – 28TH JUNE 2014, VALENCIA, SPAIN

PRELIMINARY RESULTS OF CAMLOG IMPLANTS IN THE AESTHETIC ZONE: CLINICAL AND RADIOLOGICAL EVALUATION

KAHRAMANOĞLU E a, VANLIOĞLU B b, ÖZKAN Y c, KULAK-ÖZKAN Y d

- ^a Research Assistant, Department of Prosthondontics, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Marmara, Istanbul, Turkey
- ^bAssociate Professor, Department of Prosthondontics, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Marmara, Istanbul, Turkey
- ^c Professor, Department of Oral Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Marmara, Istanbul, Turkey
- ^d Professor and Head of Department, Prosthondontics, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Marmara, Istanbul, Turkey

<u>Objectives:</u> The aim of this study was to prospectively assess clinical and radiological results in a group of patients treated with Camlog implants supporting mostly single-tooth, and partial restorations.

<u>Material and Methods:</u> In this study, patients were treated with implant (Camlog Biotechnologies, Basel, Switzerland) supported all ceramic single crowns and followed for 1 year. The implants were evaluated by clinical and radiographic parameters. Clinical parameters like plaque index (PI), sulcus bleeding index (BI), peri-implant probing depth (PD), and marginal bone loss (MBL) were recorded. Repeated-measurement ANOVA, Kruskal-Wallis test, Wilcoxon signed rank test and paired samples test were used for statistical analysis.

Results: Twenty-four implants were placed in 20 patients. At the recall examinations, all implants were successfully integrated, demonstrating healthy peri-implant soft tissues as documented by standard clinical parameters. The mean MBL at baseline was 0,98 mm \pm 0,51 at mesial side; 0,88 mm \pm 0,40 at distal side. The MBL reached to 1,24 mm \pm 0,58 at mesial; 1,11 mm \pm 0,46 at distal side at 1 year. The difference was statistically insignificant. Soft tissues were clinically healthy. The mean PD at baseline was 2,95 mm \pm 0,59 and increased to 3,19 mm \pm 0,77 at 1 year but the difference was statistically insignificant (Table 1-2).















Table 1:The mean marginal bone loss value

Time	Mean	Sd
Baseline Mesial	0,98	0,51
Baseline Distal	0,88	0,40
6 months mesial	1,14	0,58
6 months distal	1,01	0,42
1 year mesial	1,22	0,67
1 year distal	1,10	0,54







Table 2:The mean probing depth value

Table 2 - The March Probability of the Park Table		
Mean	Sd	
2,91	0,58	
3,08	0,72	
3,00	0,63	
	2,91 3,08	

<u>Conclusion:</u> Camlog implants in the anterior zone reveal successful clinical and radiographic outcomes when ideal treatment steps are performed.

Address: Ms. Prof. Dr. Yasemin Kulak Özkan Head of Department, Department of Prosthodontics Marmara University Faculty of Dentistry, İstanbul, Turkey, +902122319120 ykozkan@marmara.edu.tr